Why Light is Right?



Nicolas Meilhan Principal Consultant, Frost & Sullivan

September 2014

7 major challenges to be taken into account to develop the mobility of the future

2 global challenges - CO_2 emissions & end of cheap oil, 3 local challenges – pollution, congestion & parking and 2 economic challenges - unemployment & trade deficit



2 Economic Challenges – rising unemployment & trade deficit

"In France, we don't have any oil but we have ideas!"

Trade balance by sector from

85% of the €65 bn trade deficit increase from 2004 to 2012 is due to rising oil & gas prices (hence imports) as well as the decline of the French automotive industry



- Automotive Industry
- Agriculture

Source : http://lekiosque.finances.gouv.fr

Trade balance *degradation* by

FROST & <u>SULLIVAN</u>

Is "bike for all" as soon as 2030?

If we don't significantly reduce our car energy consumption, it might actually happen earlier than that!



- Future belongs to fuel efficient vehicles as well as low-cost cars (such as Logan)
- In Japan, 40% of passenger cars sold in 2012- 2 millions in total were kei-cars small cars with length limited to 3,5 m and engine power limited to 660 cc

Reducing energy consumption is even better!

What consumes energy when we drive?

At lower speeds– in cities– mechanical losses, which are correlated to vehicle weight, have the biggest impact on the energy consumption of vehicles

Power required to compensate mechanic & aerodynamic friction forces



Accelerations, which is increasing the speed of a given mass, is what requires the most energy when driving in a urban environment

Source : Gregory Launay - www.gnesg.com

Is there anything we could do if we really care about CO2? Reducing car size (and weight) could be an idea....

Total CO2 emissions

- ICE vs. Electric car in France -



Source: CEA http://www.theshiftproject.org/sites/default/files/files/conf_tsp_ve_david_cea_0.pdf

Weight and engine power of the average French car in the last 50 years

→10 kg increase per year, 500 kg in 50 years! →Engine power multiplied by more than 2,5



Fuel consumption of a car vs. weight and energy efficiency



Tank to wheel energy efficiency (%)

Low-tech or high-tech approach for 1L/100 km fuel consumption? Vesta 2 and Eolab - 2 different ways to reach 1L/100 km fuel consumption → 500 kg & a Cx < 0.2 or 1000 kg for a range-extended electric vehicle

Renault Eolab - 2014



Renault Vesta 2 - 1987



Technical specification	Vesta 2	Eolab	
Weight	473 kg	955 kg	
C _x	0,19	0,23	
Fuel consumption	1.94 L/100 km	1 L/100 km	
Top speed	138 km/h	200 km/h	
Thermal engine	3 cylindres - 716 cc 20 kW	3 cylindres - 999cc 57 kW	
Electric motor		40 kW @ 160Nm	
Battery capacity	-	6.7 kWh	
Electric range	- 60 km		

The Mathis Andreau 333 (1946) is a very good example of a light energy efficient car that we should follow!

3 wheels, 3 persons, 385 kg, 3 meter 40, 3.5 Litres / 100 km, developed 2 x 33ans ago

MATHIS ANDREAU 333 (1946)

Description

Dimensions	3,400 m x 1,740 m x 1,425 m (L x I x h) Voie 1,5 m ; Empattement 2,3 m
Sièges	3
GMP	Bicylindre 4 temps 700 cm ³ Refroidi par liquide
Puissance	15 cv à 3000 t/min
Boite de vitesse	4 vitesses

Performances

Masse à vide [kg]	385 kg					
Charge utile [kg]	260 kg					
Cxp[-]	0,22					
Sf [m ²]	1,887 m²					
Sf.Cxp [m ²]	0,41 m ²					
Vitesse max	105 km/h					
Consommation	3,5 Litres/100 km					
Vitesse moyenne [km/h]	40	50	60	70		
Litres aux 100 km	1,95	2,08	2,3	2,45		
Autonomie	Environ 500 km (réservoir de 18 litres)					



Une photo partioulièrement care : La Mathio-Andreau 303 à la pompe



lena ANDREAU (Thomas an béret) dernat son conve : la Mathis-Audreau 555

Source : Matthieu BARREAU & Laurent BOUTIN , Réflexions sur l'énergétique des véhicules routiers

How the car of the future looks like in an energy constrained world? \rightarrow 4 seats, a weight of 600 kg & an hybrid powertrain

Specification of the car of the future

- 4 seats vehicle
- Weight of 600 kg (150 kg / person)
- Hybrid powertrain
- → Fuel consumption of 1,5 L/100 km at 90 km/h
- → Fuel consumption <1 L/100 km at 50 km/h in urban environment



Some examples to follow

Source : Matthieu BARREAU & Laurent BOUTIN , Réflexions sur l'énergétique des véhicules routiers

Smaller cars are much better than 2.2t tanks such as Tesla S

...and it is even better if you share the ride – carpooling - and if you share your car with your neighbour (peer-to-peer) car sharing)



4 ways to address congestion & parking issues









What is the most efficient transport mode in a city?

Whether it is on the energy side or the physical footprint, the most efficient transport mode in a city where space is limited are bus, scooters & bikes







1,4 t 10 m² 1,3 person → >1000 kg & 7.7 m² per person



Quadricycle

500 kg 3 m^2 1 person \Rightarrow 500 kg & 3 m^2 per person



Bus

 12 t
 42 m²
 30 persons

 \rightarrow 430 kg & 1.4 m² per person



Scooter

125 kg 2 m^2 1 person \rightarrow 125 kg & 2 m^2 per person



Electric bike

20 kg 1 m^2 1 person \rightarrow 20 kg & 1 m^2 per person



Bike

10 kg1 m^2 1 person \rightarrow 10 kg & 1 m^2 per person

Source: Frost & Sullivan, PREDIT, 6t - Bureau de Recherche.

Small is Beautiful & Light is Right!



Source : Matthieu BARREAU & Laurent BOUTIN , Réflexions sur l'énergétique des véhicules routiers

FROST 🕉 SULLIVAN

Nicolas Meilhan

Principal Consultant Energy & Transportation Practices

🧨 (+33) 1 42 81 23 24

Micolas .meilhan@frost.com



slideshare